



TO: Potential Bidders

DATE: November 6, 2013

RE: Questions and Responses -
RFQ#123-13 Architect & RFQ#124-13 Construction Manager

The following information represents a list of bidder's questions, and the official corresponding responses from the County, which were received by the Calhoun County Purchasing Department in accordance with instructions stated in RFQ#123-13 and RFQ #124-13 (Page 12, Sec. 2.4 "Inquiries"). All respondents to the County solicitation will be responsible for this information when submitting a statement of qualifications for Architect and Construction Manager Services. Questions and the related responses that add to, alter, or change in any way, the requirements and specifications in RFQ#123-13 and RFQ#124-13 may become part of the final contractual documents upon the award of an agreement.

Vendor questions are numbered and italicized, and are followed by the corresponding response by the County in **bold** text. In instances where more than one vendor asked a question and the question was essentially the same, the typed question is a combination of the written inquiries.

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

(The questions received pertain to both RFQ#123-13 & RFQ#124-13 projects; therefore, the responses from the County are combined into one official response for both projects.)

- 1. In both RFQ documents the time stated for submission of responses is noted as 3:00 a.m. – is that correct?*

Responses are due Tuesday, November 12 at 3:00 p.m. (Local time)

- 2. Should responses include a fee schedule for services?*

The County is currently seeking qualifications only.

- 3. Minutes of the 6/20/13 BOC meeting mention approving a contract with Schweitzer for construction management services related to reconstruction of the 1st floor. Is that for the same scope/location of work for which you are requesting architectural qualifications under this solicitation? Or was that for another area or portion of the work?*

The current project under consideration for qualified architectural services is different from the scope of services referenced in the minutes of 6/20/13.

4. *If that was, in fact, for a different part of the project, would Schweitzer also be doing the construction management work for this scope of the project?*

The construction manager will be selected by a similar Request For Qualification process (RFQ#124-13).

5. *Elsewhere in the minutes for that June 20 meeting, it states “He stated we took advantage of the opportunity to do a lot of architectural planning, remodeling and redesign and there would be a brand new floor plan for the Community Development offices.” That sounds like the exact same service you are now requesting in this solicitation. So, would we be performing the same service, just for a different part of the 1st floor?*

The scope would be similar to the previous project.

6. *And if so, who did the architectural planning referred therein? I didn’t see any mention of an architectural services award in the minutes between the date of the flood and that particular council meeting.*

Architecture + Design, Inc.

7. *I also saw reference to Schweitzer’s proposal of \$12,850 being 5% of the construction cost, which would equal about \$257,000. What is the anticipated construction budget for the scope of work to be done under this solicitation?*

Approximately \$180,000

Thus ends the question and answer period for RFQ#123-13 Architect and RFQ#124-13 Construction Manager .